Systematic Raptor Monitoring as conservation tool: 12 year results in the light of landscape changes in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park
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Abstract
Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park forms part of the Natura 2000 network in a region of Greece and represents one of the most diverse landscapes for raptors (birds of prey) breeding in Europe. It is adjacent to Bulgaria and Turkey and is a renowned biodiversity hotspot. WWF Greece established a Systematic Raptor Monitoring scheme in this area in 2001. This study summarises the results of the first 12 years of monitoring in the National Park. Overall, 25 to 27 raptor species were recorded by pooling data, of which 20 species reproduced in the National Park. Raptors with continuous presence in the National Park exhibited stable, species-specific inter-annual variation. An average of 348±15.4 raptor territories were distributed throughout the National Park for all species. The Common buzzard (Buteo buteo) and the Short-toed eagle (Circaetus gallicus) were the most common species year-round, followed by the Lesser-spotted eagle (Clanga pomarina) and Booted eagle (Aquila pennata). The Long-legged buzzard (Buteo rufinus), Honey buzzard (Pernis apivorus) and Egyptian vulture (Neophron percnopterus) exhibited a noticeable drop in population numbers over the study period. A significant new entry was the re-appearance of the White-tailed eagle (Haliaeetus albicilla), which was recorded breeding again in the region after a 21-year absence. Species trends, along with their ecological traits, are discussed with respect to landscape changes in Dadia NP and minimum viable population and territory thresholds are proposed to outline essential conservation issues. Although a multi-year balance of the total number of occupied territories for all species was recorded, the number of common species increased compared to specialist species which had smaller, de-
clining populations. The abandoning of traditional livestock farming, which induces an increase in closed-canopy forest coverage, might have led to the decline of the Lesser-spotted eagle, Long-legged buzzard and Honey buzzard numbers. Additional pressure is added from specialist forest dwelling raptors which are favoured by this change in habitat. The results of this study are expected to provide useful insights to facilitate conservation and management decisions about raptors and their habitat in this region.
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**Introduction**

Although Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park (Dadia NP) was established in 2003, it has had protected reserve status since 1980 as a result of its high ornithological value (Adamakopoulos et al. 1995, Kati et al. 2004a, 2004b, Catsadorakis and Källander 2010). It is a specially protected area (SPA) within the Natura 2000 network of the European Union (EU) as it supports a highly diverse raptor assemblage, hosting breeding pairs of both rare and endangered species. Examples include the last breeding colony of the Cinereous vulture (*Aegypius monachus*) in the Balkans, in addition to colonies of the Lesser-spotted eagle, the Short-toed eagle and the Booted eagle (Poirazidis et al. 2004, Skartsi et al. 2008, Vasilakis et al. 2008). Out of all known European raptor species, 90% have been observed in Dadia NP (Hallmann 1979), highlighting the importance of this region for Greece and Europe. This region is also a renowned biodiversity hotspot for other taxa (Kati et al. 2004a, 2004b, Kati and Sekercioglu 2006, Kati et al. 2007, Zografou et al. 2015).

Although the landscape of Dadia NP remains heterogeneous and diverse (Schindler et al. 2008), it has changed significantly after 1970, due to both reforestation and land abandonment (Triantakonstantis et al. 2006). This land-use change affected the availability of suitable habitats for many species of raptors, as well as other taxa (Poirazidis et al. 2007, Poirazidis et al. 2010). Therefore, a Systematic Raptor Monitoring (SRM) scheme was established in Dadia NP to evaluate and improve conservation measures at regular intervals and to establish precise management actions (Witmer 2005). Many countries, at least within the EU, have established long-term raptor monitoring schemes as conservation tools (Kovacs et al. 2008). In contrast, in Greece and specifically within Dadia NP, an SRM scheme has only been established since 2001 by WWF Greece. This scheme aimed at exploring population trends by monitoring variations in the number of raptor territories. This scheme is unique as it is the only region in the whole of Greece where the whole raptor bio-community is monitored rather than just for specific species.

Therefore, the current study aimed to: (i) assess the six monitoring surveys (March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012) completed in Dadia NP since 2001 by exploring the relative variation in the number of raptor territories in this region and (ii) model population trends of raptors from 2001 to 2012, to identify key conservation issues
and explore which species are in need of immediate action. These results were expected to show the importance of SRM as a conservation strategy in the study region and as a protocol for evaluating variations in raptor numbers from which to develop focused processes to assess the drivers of these variations.

**Methods**

**Study area**

Dadia NP is located in the Evros Prefecture of Greece (N40°59" to 41°15"N, E26°19" to E26°36"), forming part of the south-eastern Rhodope mountains, bordering with Turkey in the east. The area includes two strictly protected core areas, encompassing a total of 7290ha. The altitude of the area ranges between 10m and 654m. Including the surrounding buffer zone, the area encompasses 35170ha (Catsadorakis and Källander 2010). The landscape is characterised by small and large valleys crossed by a hydrological network of both small and large watercourses. Dadia NP is dominated by forests of pines mixed with broadleaf forests. Maquis scrublands are also present in the vegetation mosaic. The most common tree species is the Calabrian pine (*Pinus halepensis* subsp. *Brutia*), while the Corsican pine (*Pinus nigra*) forms smaller forest patches, usually adjacent to riparian habitats. Four species of oak (*Quercus* spp.) are also present in the ecosystem. Riparian vegetation is mainly composed of Common alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) and, to a lesser extent, species like Willow (*Salix* sp.), the Black poplar (*Populus nigra*) and Tamarisk (*Tamarix spp.*). (Adamakopoulos et al. 1995, Poirazidis et al. 2004). Grazing lands, fields and villages disrupt the continuity of these forested areas, creating a characteristic habitat-mosaic that favours high landscape and biological diversity in the Dadia NP (Schindler et al. 2008).

**Field methodology**

In general, three main sampling methods are used for the census of breeding raptors: (i) line transects for surveying small areas on either side of a road; (ii) point count surveys in specified areas around fixed points and (iii) territory mapping (Fuller and Mosher 1987). In this study, all three methods were combined through (i) the surveillance of fixed areas from permanent vantage points, from which observations were mapped and (ii) surveillance from a vehicle along predetermined transects in which raptor activity was documented along both sides of the roads with hand-held GPS units (Schindler et al. 2011). Binoculars and telescopes were used according to standard methodology (Bibby et al. 2000, Vorisek et al. 2008, Gilbert et al. 2011). Following, Millsap and Le Franc (1988), permanent (rather than random) vantage points were selected. Twenty-four vantage points and 10 road transects (see Supplementary File 1: Map of Dadia NP vantage points and line transects) were selected throughout the entire study area to
monitor the raptor population in detail and to secure the viable, long-term reproduc-
ibility of the methodology for future monitoring efforts.

Due to the topography of the area, good vantage points were limited and defini-
tive vantage points were selected using the following criteria: (i) the point ensured the
best and widest view of all neighbouring hillsides; (ii) the total area surveyed from
vantage points included all main habitat types in proportion to their availability; (iii)
the points were distributed equally over the entire study area, without habitat-bias to-
wards plots with already known high raptor presence; (iv) access time to vantage points
from the nearest road was short and (v) Black vulture colonies were avoided to reduce
disturbance. Road transects were selected based on the following criteria: (i) how they
complemented vantage points and, especially, for coverage of valley areas, where the
positioning of adequate vantage points was not possible and (ii) to obtain the maxi-
num coverage of the reserve by the two methods. Each survey was completed by two
observers who alternated at sampling sites to reduce observer bias.

Details of the SRM scheme, describing both spatial and temporal parameters, are
provided in Poirazidis et al. (2011). For a detailed map of the study area, transect and
vantage points’ position, as well as landscape and vegetation analysis, National Park limits
and core areas’ extension, see Schindler et al. (2008, 2015) and Poirazidis et al. (2009).

Data analysis methods

The total number of raptor species, along with the total number of individuals of each
species, was recorded each year, along with details on the vantage point, transect and
monitoring season. The total number of observations for each species was also recorded
in a similar manner. To standardise differences in raptor numbers between years, the
percentage of all recorded observations for each raptor species was calculated in rela-
tion to the total raptor observations in each monitoring year.

The number of territories was estimated using three standard steps to allow mean-
ingful comparison. In Step 1, the observation data were entered in seven different
ArcGIS layers: general flights, territorial observations, landings, synchronous observa-
tions, nest areas, meeting points and meeting point flights. The number of individuals,
species, age, sex and different raptor activities were also recorded in an Access database
that was interconnected with the GIS layers of Dadia NP. In Step 2, each territory
was estimated independently for each vantage point and each road transect (repre-
senting 34 discrete sampling plots). When territories extended beyond the boundaries
of discrete plots (continuing on to neighbouring plots), further analysis reshaped the
polygon limits, creating new ones in a progressive process in which the recordings of
each subsequent month in the same year were used to correct previous estimations (for
details, see Poirazidis et al. 2009; Schindler et al. 2011). In Step 3, in order to define
the final limits of a breeding pair’s exploited territory, the total observations for each
year were used for the whole breeding season. Therefore, “territory centres” (which
were derived from the polygons) should not be used in a deterministic context, but in a
relative spatial context. To avoid potential bias in counting the same individual in more than one territory (and not confusing one large territory with smaller neighbouring ones), simultaneous observations from observers in different locations over the whole region were used as the tool for separation. At the time of evaluation, major raptor activities were included, such as displays and landings.

Breeding territories were classified as: “confirmed” or “possible.” The classification “possible” was used when it was not possible to confirm with absolute certainty that the observations were obtained from separate individuals that maintained a separate territory. At the end, the final number of territories for each species was calculated by adding 50% of possible territories to the confirmed values (Palma et al. 2004).

Inter-annual variation in the relative number of raptor territories was explored by using the first SRM year as the starting point, appointing it with a value of 1. Then, for all subsequent monitoring years, a number was produced showing the relative difference in the number of territories per species in relation to the baseline value of 1 (Siriwardena et al. 1998).

To explore the population trends of raptors, two approaches were implemented. First, the non-parametric and distribution-free test of Mann-Kendall (MK) was applied to the annual SRM values for the number of raptor territories to statistically determine whether there was a monotonic upward or downward trend of the variable of interest over time (Baldwin et al. 2012). A monotonic upward/downward trend means that the variable consistently increases/decreases through time. The MK test is used in place of a parametric linear regression analysis, as the residuals from the fitted regression line do not need to be normally distributed. MK “tau” and “p” values were calculated, along with the Sen Slope value which is the median slope joining all pairs of observations and is expressed both by quantity per unit time and percent of the mean quantity per unit time. MK tests were applied with R programming language (R Core Team 2017) and the Kendall package (McLeod 2015). Second, the annual values of the number of territories per species from the SRM scheme were tested progressively against time with Generalised Linear Models (GLMs) with Poisson and Negative Binomial distributions and then with Generalised Additive Models (GAMs), with and without smoothing terms, in terms of cubic and cyclic cubic regression splines. GAMs were the best fitting models for these data, based on the criterion of AIC and BIC (Guisan et al. 2002).

GAMs are actually flexible extensions of GLMs. In GAMs, the linear equation predictors that are associated with the GLMs are replaced by a more general additive predictor which allows the change in abundance over time to follow any smooth curve and not just a linear form (Fewster et al. 2000). In order to capture the non-linear form of data over time, GAMs use a variety of smoothing techniques which were originally developed for smoothing scatterplots. Such smoothers are the kernel smoothers, weighted regression smoothers and running-median smoothers (Fewster et al. 2000). In the present study, smoothing splines were used which satisfy a penalised least squares criterion. The penalty criterion is applied by using cubic and cyclic cubic polynomials, the roughness of which is actually penalised by the number of degrees of freedom.
Specifically, as the degrees of freedom in a model increase, the smoothing functions increase the model’s flexibility, with more turning points and gradients appearing. In GAMs, predictor variables are specified as non-parametric smooth functions (Hastie and Tibshirani 1986). GAMs were applied with R programming language (R Core Team 2017) and more specific with the R package mgcv (Wood 2012).

GAMs are also used to separate actual underlying trends from short-term fluctuations. However, the precise point where a signal is interpreted as an irregular fluctuation, rather than a long-term trend, is poorly defined; thus, for each point, a framework to delineate noise from trends must be generated (Fewster et al. 2000).

A number of diversity indices was also calculated for the raptor community in Dadia NP, following Magurran (2004). Shannon, Simpson and Invert-Simpson diversity indices were calculated, these being three of the most commonly used diversity metrics in bio-community analysis (Magurran 2004).

The Osprey (Pandion haliaetus), Hen harrier (Circus cyaneus) and Red kite (Milvus milvus) were observed in Dadia NP, but were excluded from the analyses because they are currently, at least, non-breeders in the area. Similarly, the Black kite (Milvus migrans) was excluded from population trend analyses as it occupied territories close to Dadia NP, but did not breed inside the NP. The Western marsh harrier (Circus aeruginosus) was also excluded from the analysis, as it was absent during the first year, only establishing territories in the final year of monitoring. The colonial vulture species Griffon vulture (Gyps fulvus) and Cinereous vulture (Aegypius monachus) were also observed, but excluded from all analyses, as they form colonies and undertake common and long distance flights for foraging and do not present a strict territorial behaviour similar to other raptors. Finally, species that were sporadically recorded across years (Imperial eagle (Aquila heliaca), Lanner falcon (Falco biarmicus) and White-tailed Eagle were not included in this analysis.

Results

Presence and relative abundance

Dadia NP was monitored from March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012 (i.e. totalling six censuses) within the framework of the SRM. A total of 23 raptors that form territories were recorded over all breeding seasons (Table 1).

The number of Egyptian vulture territories was counted and evaluated in the SRM. Due to the rapid population decline of this species in the study region (and also in SE Europe), specific additional monitoring schemes were implemented from 2009 to 2017 in Dadia NP. The aim was to secure the Egyptian vulture survival in Greece and Bulgaria. More details are included in the official project’s website The Return of Neophron (http://www.lifeneophron.eu/en/index.html).

One-way ANOVA tests indicated that in a species-specific context, the presence of raptors was stable between monitoring years (25.17±1.47, F(4,1)=2.172, p=0.465).
Table 1. Relative frequency of raptors recorded during each Systematic Raptor Monitoring year in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park. Percentages are calculated from the total of individuals observed from March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012. Data are shown in a decreasing value-order with respect to the first year of observation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buteo buteo</td>
<td>40.07</td>
<td>27.64</td>
<td>26.55</td>
<td>27.11</td>
<td>29.39</td>
<td>27.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clanga pomarina</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neophron percnopterus</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila pennata</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pernis apivorus</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter nisus</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco tinnunculus</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter gentilis</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila chrysaetos</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buteo rufinus</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco subbuteo</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila heliaca</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco biarmicus</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milvus migrans</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco peregrinus</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter brevipes</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus aeroginosus</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus cyaneus</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus pygargus</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haliaeetus albicilla</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milvus milvus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco vespertinus</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This pattern was similar in the whole NP and at vantage point plots (24.83±1.47, $F_{(2,3)}=0.316$, $p=0.750$) and along road-transect plots (20.67±1.37, $F_{(3,2)}=0.549$, $p=0.697$).

The most common species were the Common buzzard, Short-toed eagle and Lesser-spotted eagle, with an average relative abundance of 29.72%, 23.38% and 5.02% of all raptor species per monitoring year. All other species had a relative abundance of less than 3% per monitoring year (Table 1).

The relative abundance of the Egyptian vulture was 4.8% (range: 3.87–5.87%) in 2001–2005, but dropped to 1.89% in 2012, indicating a rapid decline in the number of breeding territories over the course of a decade. In addition, the presence of the Long-legged buzzard decreased by 70% from 2001 to 2012 (Table 1). The Lanner falcon was only recorded in 2001. The relative abundance of the Imperial eagle declined over the observation period, with this species being absent in both 2005 and 2012 (Table 1).
Relative variation in territory number and diversity

The Booted eagle and Golden eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) displayed negative values in 2004 (SRM year 4); however, the population had re-established by 2012 (SRM year 6) to similar levels as in 2001 (SRM year 1) (Figure 1). A positive relative increase of 4.9% was documented for the Booted eagle. In comparison, the Golden eagle had a similar number of territories in 2012 (SRM year 6) as in 2001 (SRM year 1). The number of Lesser-spotted eagle territories increased from 2001 to 2004 (SRM year 1–4); however, the number of territories in 2012 (SRM year 6) was similar to that in 2001 (SRM year 1) (Figure 1). The only eagle for which the number of territories increased from 2001 to 2005 and was maintained in 2012 was the Short-toed eagle, with a 22% relative increase (Figure 1).

Buzzards presented two opposing trends in the relative number of territories. The Common buzzard occupied more territories across all survey years, with a relative increase of 11.5% (Table 2). In comparison, the relative number of territories of the Long-legged buzzard and the Honey buzzard strongly declined by 57% and 45%, respectively (Figure 2). Falcons also exhibited contrasting trends. For instance, after a continuous increase from 2002 to 2005, the number of Eurasian kestrel (*Falco tinnunculus*) territories noticeably declined, reaching a negative value of 29% with respect to the baseline value (Figure 3). In comparison, the number of Peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) and Hobby (*Falco subbuteo*) territories strongly increased by 75%.

![Figure 1. Relative variation in the territory numbers of eagles in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park during the Systematic Raptor Monitoring surveys (March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012).](image-url)
Table 2. Relative variation in the number of raptor territories in the Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park during the Systematic Raptor Monitoring surveys from March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012. Calculations were made in relation to a “baseline value,” with the number of territories in year 1 being assigned the value of 1. Data are shown in decreasing value-order with respect to total variation (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falco peregrinus</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco subbuteo</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter brevipes</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter gentilis</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus gallicus</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buteo buteo</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila pennata</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clanga pomarina</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila chrysaetos</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter nisus</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco tinnunculus</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pernis apivorus</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-45.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neophron percnopterus</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-54.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buteo rufinus</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-57.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus aeruginosus</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total territory variation</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.07</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.04</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.01</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.12</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.02</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Relative variation in the number of territories of buzzards in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park during the six Systematic Raptor Monitoring surveys (March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012).
and 46.2%, respectively (Table 2), with this trend being noticeable in comparison to all other raptors.

With respect to hawks, the number of Sparrowhawk (*Accipiter nisus*) territories decreased from 2001 (SRM year 1) to 2012 (SRM year 6) (Figure 4). The number of Levant sparrowhawk (*Accipiter brevipes*) and Northern goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*) territories increased by the year 2012 (SRM year 6); however, the Levant sparrowhawk exhibited major fluctuations in territory number across the years (Figure 4). The Egyptian vulture exhibited the largest relative decrease in territory number of all species, reaching a negative value of 54.5% in 2012 (Table 2, Figure 5), holding just five active territories.

The diversity of the raptor assemblage in Dadia NP decreased in the region over the study period based on all three indices (Shannon, Simpson and Invert-Simpson) (Figure 6). However, all indices presented generally high values of diversity overall (Simpson: 0.834±1.01, Shannon: 2.194±0.04, Invert-Simpson: 6.048±0.39).

**Overall percentage change between the first and last year of the SRM**

Three species exhibited noticeable overall increases in their presence in Dadia NP from 2001 to 2012; namely, the Common buzzard, the Short-toed eagle and the Northern goshawk. In contrast, four species exhibited noticeable decreases; namely, the Common kestrel, the Egyptian vulture, the Eurasian sparrowhawk and the Honey buzzard.
Figure 4. Relative variation in the number of territories of hawks in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park during the six Systematic Raptor Monitoring surveys (March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012).

Figure 5. Relative variation in the number of territories of Egyptian vultures in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park during the six Systematic Raptor Monitoring surveys (March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012).
Figure 6. Diversity of raptor assemblages in Dadia NP from 2002 to 2012. Variation in Shannon, Simpson and Invert-Simpson indices is shown.
A further two species displayed moderate increases; namely, the Western marsh harrier and the Eurasian hobby. Four species showed marginal upward trends; namely, the Peregrine falcon, the Levant sparrowhawk, the Booted eagle and the Lesser-spotted eagle. Two species displayed moderate decreases; namely, the Golden eagle and the Long-legged buzzard. (See Table 4 for details)

**Models of population trends**

The population trends of 14 raptors were modelled. These species had a continuous presence, with active territories in the study area across all survey years (Table 3).

Although the MK test did not reveal statistically significant monotonic trends, it provided valuable information on species trends (positive or negative), depending on the sign of the Sen slope value. This result was obtained due to the time series being short. Furthermore, a monotonic line cannot always fit significant fluctuations. In comparison, the more flexible GAMs confirmed that time had a significant effect on population change, with the preliminary trend for the study period being graphically presented using the smoothing technique.

The Short-toed eagle was the only eagle with an increasing trend. The MK test showed an upward trend (though not significant), whereas the GAM showed a significant relative change in the number of territories over time, with a good fit (Table 3, Figure 7a, b). The Golden eagle occupied the same number of territories at the first and last census (Figure 7c, d), presenting very little overall change in variation with respect to the other eagles (Tables 2, 3 and 4). The Lesser-spotted eagle and Booted eagle had slightly higher relative variance in the number of territories by 2012 (Tables 2 and 4), attaining positive M:\K slopes. GAMs for the two species of eagles (t=33.291, p<0.0001 and t=45.54, p<0.0001 respectively) indicated significant variation over time. The Lesser-spotted and Booted eagles (Figure 8a, b, c, d) had a relatively stable population, even when considering the small overall change in variation (Table 4). However, the Lesser-spotted eagle exhibited a noticeable decline in 2012 (Figure 8a).

With respect to buzzards, only the Common buzzard had a stable trend (MK: tau=0.066, p=1). The Long-legged buzzard and Honey buzzard showed decreasing MK slopes (Sen slope: -0.166 and -2.6, respectively). The variation in the number of Buzzard territories versus monitoring years was highly significant, with a good fit when using GAMs (Table 3, Figure 9a, b). The Honey and Long-legged buzzards displayed decreasing population trends, with a strong decline being detected for the Honey buzzard (Figure 9c–f).

The trend recorded for hawks was significant when using GAMs (Northern goshawk: t=69.240, p<0.01, Eurasian sparrowhawk: t=24.292, p<0.0001, Levant sparrowhawk: t=14.990, p<0.05), with a robust fit (Table 3) which was attributed to the significant effect of time on their inter-annual variation (Figure 10a–f). Only the Northern goshawk had a positive upward slope (Sen slope: 1.25) in its population trend (tau=0.467, p=0.259) with a robust fit in GAM (Figure 10a, b). The Levant sparrowhawk had no clear trend after 2005, leading to a negative, non-significant MK slope,
Table 3. Generalised Additive Models fitting the Systematic Raptor Monitoring values for each survey year of the number of raptor territories in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park (March to August 2001–2005 and in 2012). Results of Linear GAMs and GAMs with cubic and cyclic cubic smoothing splines are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species of raptors</th>
<th>Linear GAM</th>
<th>GAM cr</th>
<th>GAM ccr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family: Gaussian</td>
<td>Link function: Identity</td>
<td>Family: Gaussian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2_{adj}$</td>
<td>Deviance explained</td>
<td>GCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EAGLES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circaetus gallicus</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>19.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila chrysaetos</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>1.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clanga pomarina</td>
<td>-0.215</td>
<td>2.82%</td>
<td>7.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila pennata</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>2.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUZZARDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buteo buteo</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>3.99%</td>
<td>99.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buteo rufinus</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pernis apivorus</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
<td>13.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPARROWHAWKS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter gentilis</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>8.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter nisus</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>14.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter brevipes</td>
<td>-0.243</td>
<td>0.58%</td>
<td>5.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FALCONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco tinnunculus</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>27.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco subbuteo</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>7.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco peregrinus</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>1.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VULTURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neophron percnopterus</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
<td>2.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL TERRITORIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of territories in Dadia NP</td>
<td>-0.241</td>
<td>0.71%</td>
<td>436.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
but it had a robust fit in GAM of $R^2_{adj}=0.893$. This equation defined the effect of time in this short variation cycle, with uncertain fluctuations (Figure 10e, f). The number of Eurasian sparrowhawk territories decreased from 36 to 28 (Figure 10c, d).

The Common kestrel had a negative population trend. The MK slope was not significant, but positive for this species ($\tau=0.138$, $p=0.848$). This result was possibly due to a strong increase during the initial years of monitoring (Figure 11a, Table 3), followed by the lowest value being obtained in the final year, indicating a possible negative population trend (Figure 11a, b). The Eurasian hobby showed a positive MK slope ($\tau=0.333$, $p=0.452$). This trend was probably due to the highest value being obtained in the final monitoring year (Tables 2 and 4). The Peregrine falcon had a positive MK slope that was marginally significant ($\tau=0.745$, $p=0.069$) and a significant GAM ($t=6.428$, $p<0.05$). A low adjustment GAM $R^2$ value fitted through the Peregrine falcon data, demonstrating an increasing trend for the species. The estimated number of Peregrine falcon territories increased from two in 2001 to 3.5 in 2012 (Figure 11e, f).
Table 4. Relative frequency in the number of raptor territories in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park in 2001 and in 2012. Percentages were calculated from the total number of territories per year. Percentage change variation was based on the difference between the first and the last year of the Systematic Raptor Monitoring scheme. Absolute territory numbers per species are shown for both 2001 and 2012. Data are shown in decreasing value-order with respect to the overall percentage variation change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birds of prey species</th>
<th>Absolute number of territories in 2001</th>
<th>Absolute number of territories in 2012</th>
<th>Relative territory frequency in 2001 (%)</th>
<th>Relative territory frequency in 2012 (%)</th>
<th>Percentage (%) variation change between 2001 and 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buteo buteo</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>32.93</td>
<td>35.92</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circaetus gallicus</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter gentilis</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus aeruginosus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco subbuteo</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco peregrinus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter brevipes</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila pennata</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clanga pomarina</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila chrysaetos</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buteo rufinus</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco tinnunculus</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>-1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neophron percnopterus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accipiter nisus</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>-2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pernis apivorus</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of all documented raptor species, the Egyptian vulture demonstrated the greatest population decline (Tables 2–4, Figure 12a, b). This species had a negative slope that was marginally significant (MK: tau = -0.412, p=0.07). When the time series was extended to include 2000 to 2016 (WWF Greece, unpublished data), the Egyptian vulture showed a highly significant MK negative trend (tau=-0.62, p< 0.001).

With respect to the overall number of raptor territories, a small overall increase was detected (Table 2). This increase was possibly influenced by an increase in the number of Short-toed eagles and Common buzzards. Overall, the territories fit a significant GAM model vs time, with high R² adjustment (Table 3). The overall trend for 2001–2012 is shown in Figure 13a, b.

Discussion

Raptor monitoring as a conservation tool

Monitoring is an essential tool for effective nature conservation and management. Both long-term trends and the present status of populations allow sound management programmes to be formulated (Kirk and Hyslop 1998, Saurola 2008). In particular,
Figure 8. Inter-annual variation in the numbers of territories for Lesser-spotted eagle and Booted eagle territories in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park fitting Generalised Additive Models. Population trend modelling is also shown for each species with cyclic cubic regression (cc) splines used as smoothing terms. a Variation in the number of Lesser-spotted eagle territories fitting a GAM b Predictive GAM for the Lesser-spotted eagle with smoothed terms (cc splines) c Variation in the number of Booted eagle territories fitting a GAM d Predictive GAM for the Booted eagle with smoothed terms (cc splines).

Forest-dwelling raptors are difficult to monitor, as they are widely dispersed and are difficult to detect, due to vegetation, forest canopy and land topography (Fuller and Mosher 1987). Thus, monitoring the population dynamics of raptors involves high requirements in personnel, time and cost (Catsadorakis 1994). Yet, the early detection of causes in population fluctuation provides the opportunity for “on-time” management decisions (Vos et al. 2000). The EU has set as one of its key objectives “to conserve most important species and habitats,” including a large proportion of Europe’s raptor species (Kovacs et al. 2008). At present, 64% of the 56 raptor and owl species that occur in Europe have an unfavourable conservation status (Kovacs et al. 2008).

Globally, the monitoring effort of raptors tends to focus on nest location and the observation of individuals (Fuller and Mosher 1987), this being a highly resource demanding process. In comparison, WWF Greece organised a different SRM approach which has been implemented in Dadia NP since 2001. This scheme involves monitor-
Figure 9. Inter-annual variation in the number of buzzard territories in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park fitting Generalised Additive Models. Population trends modelling is also shown for each species with cubic regression (cr) or cyclic cubic regression (cc) splines used as smoothing terms, depending on lowest AIC in each case. a Variation in the number of Common buzzard territories fitting a GAM b Predictive GAM for the Common buzzard with smoothed terms (cc splines) c Variation in the number of Long-legged buzzard territories fitting a GAM d Predictive GAM for the Long-legged buzzard with smoothed terms (cr splines) e Variation in the number of Honey buzzard territories fitting a GAM f Predictive GAM for Honey buzzard with smoothed terms (cr splines).
Figure 10. Inter-annual variation in the number of hawk territories in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park fitting Generalised Additive Models. Population trend modelling is also shown for each species with cubic regression (cr) or cyclic cubic regression (cc) splines used as smoothing terms, depending on lowest AIC in each case. a Variation in the number of Northern goshawk territories fitting a GAM b Predictive GAM for the Northern goshawk with smoothed terms (cc splines) c Variation in the number of Eurasian sparrowhawk territories fitting a GAM d Predictive GAM for the Eurasian sparrowhawk with smoothed terms (cr splines) e Variation in the number of Levant sparrowhawk territories fitting a GAM f Predictive GAM for the Levant sparrowhawk with smoothed terms (cr splines).
Figure 11. Inter-annual variation in the number of falcon territories in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park fitting Generalised Additive Models. Population trends modelling is also demonstrated for each species with cubic regression (cr) splines used as smoothing terms. a Variation in the number of Common kestrel territories fitting a GAM b Predictive GAM for the Common kestrel with smoothed terms (cr splines) c Variation in the number of Eurasian hobby territories fitting a GAM d Predictive GAM for the Eurasian hobby with smoothed terms (cr splines) e Variation in the number of Peregrine falcon territories fitting a GAM f Predictive GAM for the Peregrine falcon with smoothed terms (cr splines).
Systematic Raptor Monitoring as conservation tool: 12 year results...

Figure 12. Inter-annual variation in the number of Egyptian vulture in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park fitting Generalised Additive Models. Population trend modelling is also shown for the species with cubic regression (cr) splines used as smoothing terms. a Variation in the number of Egyptian vulture territories fitting a GAM b Predictive GAM for the Egyptian vulture with smoothed terms (cr splines).

Figure 13. Inter-annual variation in the number of overall raptor territories in Dadia-Lefkimi-Soufli National Park fitting Generalised Additive Models. Population trends modelling is also demonstrated for the whole number of territories with cubic regression (cr) splines used as smoothing terms. a Variation in the number of overall raptor territories fitting a GAM b Predictive GAM for overall raptor territories with smoothed terms (cr splines).

Diversity of the raptor assemblage

A total of 27 diurnal raptor species were recorded in Dadia NP during the breeding season, 20 of which breed in the NP regularly. Three different diversity indices calculated for the raptor assemblage (Shannon, Simpson, Invert-Simpson) showed that raptors exhibited high diversity in the NP. These values had the highest ranking compared to values for raptors in other areas (Colwel 2009), reaffirming the high value of Dadia NP for raptors, where 36 of the 38 raptor species in Europe have been observed (Hallman 1979, Catsadorakis and Källander 2010).

However, over the 12-year period (from SRM1 to SRM 6), raptor diversity declined. All diversity values reached their lowest point in the final SRM year (2012) (Figure 6). Over this period, the number of the dominant Short-toed eagle and the Common buzzard (Figures 1 and 2), represented more than 50% of observed individuals and territories, along with a parallel decrease in less abundant species, such as the Golden eagle, Long-legged buzzard, Honey buzzard and Eurasian sparrowhawk (Tables 1 and 4). These trends are probably explained by changes in environmental factors, such as the landscape homogenisation (Adamakopoulos et al. 1995, Triantakonstantis et al. 2006), negatively impacting less competitive species that are dependent on specialised spaces in the forest ecosystem, leading to their being replaced by more common (competitive) species. Moreover, other anthropogenic factors are also driving the decline in raptor numbers in this region, examples including illegal poisoning and collisions with wind turbines (Kafetzis et al. 2017, Skartsi et al. 2014, Saravia et al. 2016, Ntemiri and Saravia 2016, Kret et al. 2016, Vasilakis et al. 2017).

However, it is also important to determine whether this decline in overall raptor diversity is actually due natural fluctuation processes or whether there is actually a consistent decline in rare and more specialist species requiring management action. A definite answer could only be provided following the long-term implementation of the SRM scheme. Such information would clarify whether the natural fluctuation process will be reversed or whether the decrease in diversity will continue due to intra-specific competition, landscape change and anthropogenic pressure. In particular, species with smaller numbers, less competitive mechanisms and more specialised habitat requirements might be at risk (Sanchez-Zapata and Calvo 1999, Juliard et al. 2006).

Overall, Dadia NP has a generally stable number of territories, with a small annual increase (Figure 13a, b). A total of 346–350 breeding territories was documented for all species combined in Dadia NP, with a fluctuation of ±40, possibly defining the limits of a natural fluctuation across the years. The Short-toed eagle and the Common buzzard (Figures 7a, b, 9a, b) were the most common (and abundant) raptor species in the region, with the trends for these two species shaping the detected variation of all breeding territories in the NP. Thus, this measure must be treated with caution, as the overall stability in territories for all species combined fails to account for the detected decline in rare and more specialist species. Of note, 19 of the 23 raptor represent less than 3% relative frequency of the records with respect to all recorded observations per year (Table 1).
Raptor population trends and possible effects of land use and landscape change

The Short-toed eagle is a specialist predator with a narrow niche diet of reptiles (Bakaloudis 1998), along with specific habitat needs for nesting (Bakaloudis 2001). Within the NP, forests have begun encroaching on abandoned agricultural land, increasing the extent of closed canopy (Adamakopoulos 1995, Poirazidis et al. 2011) and negatively impacting biodiversity (Zakkak 2014). This phenomenon could negatively impact species that need open areas to forage. Yet, the Short-toed eagle has maintained a stable population (even with a small increase) in this study area (Figure 7a, 7b). A possible reason for this might be that edge-habitats, which are necessary for reptile diversity and successful hunting (Sanchez-Zapata and Calvo 1999), have not reached critical levels for the species due to the presence of a network of tertiary roads that intersect the area. The Short-toed eagle population was generally stable, with a natural variation of 37±6 territories which might represent the stability threshold for the species in Dadia NP (Figure 7b).

With respect to eagles, the Booted eagle demonstrated robust population stability, whereas the Golden eagle and Lesser-spotted eagle exhibited contrasting trends. Both species exhibited overall stability, with similar values being obtained in the first and last SRM years; however, values fluctuated irregularly in the intervening years. In particular, 2003 was a poor year for eagle abundance in Dadia NP (Figures 7a, c, 8a, c), possibly due to climatic factors influencing the availability of prey for the raptors (Terraube et al. 2011, Wichmann et al. 2013). Alternatively, this decline might be a regular repetitive pattern which could only be detected through long-term monitoring. The Booted eagle had overall high abundance values for all years, except 2003 and an increase in 2012 (Figure 8c). If 2003 were excluded from the model, the population trend for this species would be clearly positive. The stability threshold of Booted eagles in the region is probably around 20 occupied territories on average. This raptor is generally scarce; yet, its population has somehow increased in Western Europe. This species is particularly sensitive to the management of forest ecosystems and co-existence with agricultural land (Suárez et al. 2000).

The Golden eagle and Lesser-spotted eagle presented identical trends (Figures 7d and 8b), with the numbers of both declining in 2003. These increasing fluctuations over the first 5 years, along with the large decrease in 2012, create an uncertainty about whether this is a regular fluctuating trend or if the decrease in 2012 requires immediate mitigating action.

A recent, noticeable, change in modern agricultural practices in the region of Dadia NP is the monoculture of Helianthus (*Helianthus annuus*), due to contract agriculture. Contract farming involves agricultural production being carried out on the basis of an agreement between the buyer and farm producers, where the buyer specifies the quantity required and the price, with the farmer agreeing to deliver at a future date. Similar changes in Estonia have caused problems for eagles that forage in open areas during the breeding period, these eagles decreasing due to Helianthus farming, including the Lesser-spotted eagle (Väli et al. 2017). Thus, the large decrease in the Lesser-spotted
eagle population between 2005 and 2012 (Figure 8a, b) might reflect the negative effect of landscape changes in relation to this crop in Dadia NP. Poirazidis et al. (2010) showed that the Lesser-spotted eagle population had been stable over the last 25 years in Dadia NP; however, there was a marked change in the elevation at which it nested. For instance, only 50% of pairs bred below 100m in the 1970s (Hallmann 1979), whereas this number had risen to 67% by 2001. Habitat change is the major driver behind this shift; thus, the change in the distribution of Lesser-spotted eagles in Dadia NP might be related to the decline in open and semi-open habitats in the interior of the forest since the 1950s (Triantakonstantis 2006, Poirazidis et al. 2015). This decline in forest heterogeneity might have also caused the abundance of reptiles and amphibians, which represent an important food source for the Lesser-spotted eagle in DNP, to decline (Vlachos and Papageorgiou 1996).

The Common buzzard is a generalist predator with a broad habitat niche (Rooney and Montgomery 2013, Jankowiak et al. 2015). Large fluctuations in territory numbers were detected in the initial SRM years; however, an increase was detected in 2012 (Figure 9a). The irregular initial fluctuations, along with the gap between fifth and sixth surveys generated high variability (Figure 9b), with more monitoring being required to determine whether this is a regular population trend. Overall, in Dadia NP, Common buzzards occupy an average number of 120 territories.

The Honey buzzard and Long-legged buzzard are more specialist species and demonstrated negative population trends. Interestingly, in the bordering regions of Bulgaria, the Long-legged buzzard has not undergone any major decline for 20 years (Iankov 2007). In contrast, in Dadia NP, the species probably reached low enough numbers for the population to crash in 2012 (Figure 9c). This raptor occupied very few territories in the NP (Table 4). Nonetheless, from 2001 to 2005, its numbers regularly fluctuated around three breeding territories which might be the minimum viable threshold for the species. However, the decrease in territories by 2012 probably indicates a negative population trend driving the Long-legged buzzard below its survival limits in Dadia NP (Figure 9d). Thus, continued monitoring is required to determine whether the population can recover. This decline might be associated with the noticeable decline in nomadic livestock farming between 2000 and 2012. Decreased grazing induced the lower use of open area patches within the forest ecosystem, altering the form of the heterogeneous mosaic in Dadia NP, leading to a parallel increase in the homogeneity index in the region. Consequently, open area foraging raptors lack adequate hunting space.

The Honey buzzard showed the largest population decrease of all the buzzard species in Dadia NP (Table 4). Even though it is a strict forest nesting species, without specific habitat requirements for its nesting sites (Gamauf et al. 2013), the increase in forested area in the region does not seem to have benefitted it. This fact is difficult to explain. Other factors might be suppressing the population, particularly in relation to its migratory habits. For instance, juvenile mortality of up to 50% is thought to occur during the “Sahara crossing” (Strandberg et al. 2009). Furthermore, this species has been subject to more than 30 years poaching in regions of the Mediterranean (Barca et al. 2016). As the species is exhibiting clear negative population trends in the study area
(Figure 9e, f), it is not possible to determine the minimum viable population threshold for this site. Although an average of 24 territories might be a representative threshold, further confirmation is needed.

In contrast to the Eurasian sparrowhawk (Figure 10c, d), hawks, which are also strictly forest dwelling species (Rutz 2006), exhibited stable to increasing populations in Dadia NP. Specifically, the Northern goshawk showed the highest overall percentage variation increase, in terms of occupied territories (Table 4). This increase might have contributed to the decline in the Honey buzzard, due to inter-specific competition. While Honey buzzards do not have specific habitat criteria for nesting sites, they do locate their nests away from Northern goshawks to avoid their young being subject to predation (Gamauf et al. 2013, Hakkarainen et al. 2004). The increase in the closed canopy forest in Dadia NP might have allowed the more agile and competitive Northern goshawk to out-compete the Honey buzzard from optimum forest sites, allowing Northern goshawk numbers to increase to the detriment of Honey buzzard numbers. Twenty territories on average might be the stability threshold for the Northern goshawk in Dadia NP.

The Levant sparrowhawk has a much lower population size in the study region (Table 1), with a relatively stable fluctuation curve (Figure 10f). However, it is difficult to monitor this species because of its cryptic nature and preference for difficult-to-access terrain. Therefore, the short-term monitoring results are not sufficient to determine whether this species demonstrates natural population fluctuations (Figure 10e, f). Only part of this population actually breeds in the NP, as its main breeding territories occur along the riparian ecosystem of the Evros River (Poirazidis, unpublished data) which forms the natural eastern border of the NP on the border with Turkey. The decrease in the numbers of Eurasian sparrowhawk in the region (Figures 10c and 10d) might be explained by several factors, such as abiotic environmental conditions, resource availability, population density and inter/intra-specific interactions (Nielsen and Moller 2006). Competition and resources partitioning might be the primary causes for the population decline of this species, as optimum forest habitat appears to be increasing in Dadia NP.

The Common kestrel was the only falcon to exhibit decreasing trends in the Dadia NP (Figure 11a, b). However, the time-series is too short to make clear conclusions. The Common kestrel is quite abundant in Greece and is one of the most common raptors in the EU; thus, it is not expected for this species to be under pressure. One potential source of pressure might be the increase in Northern goshawks in Dadia NP, due to inter-specific competition and predation on the young (Petty et al. 2003). In comparison, the population trends of the Peregrine falcon and Eurasian hobby are clearly positive (Figure 11c, d, e, f), with numbers increasing in Dadia NP.

One of the most important and emblematic species for the region, the Egyptian vulture, is being subject to distinct decreasing population trends (Figure 12a, b). Successful conservation projects have been implemented throughout the distribution of the species range in Greece and abroad (Oppel et al. 2016) with the support of EU and national funding. However, the future of the species in Dadia NP is uncertain,
with only three breeding pairs remaining at Dadia NP. This decrease has been primarily attributed to illegal poisoning which is a pan-European issue (Hernández and Margalida 2009, Skartsi et al. 2014, Ntemiri and Saravia 2016). In the Balkans, the species has fragmented into six sub-populations, with major problems being poisoning, electrocution, direct persecution and changes in food availability (Saravia et al. 2016). These factors operate at large spatial scales, affecting birds both on breeding grounds and during migration and wintering (Oppel et al. 2017, Velevski et al. 2015). Recent research on radio-tagged birds in the Balkans has demonstrated that high mortality exists in first-time young migrants which die over seas during migration. In this species, inexperienced juveniles follow experienced adults to the foraging grounds; however, as the population shrinks, the number of experienced adults is declining, forcing juvenile birds to migrate without conspecific guidance (Oppel et al. 2015).

Conservation implications for management

A common concept in wildlife populations is that, under situations of pressure, limitation of resources, competition and habitat/landscape change, specialist species are the first to pay the price, due to their inability to adapt to rapid changes and have narrow niches (Ferrer and Negro 2004). In Dadia NP, slow but continuous landscape change has been recorded. The homogeneity index of the forest ecosystem has increased, with the slow disappearance of forest openings, due to the abandonment of traditional and open livestock farming (Triantakonstantis 2006, Poirazidis et al. 2015). In addition, traditional agricultural practices are converting to Helianthus crops which benefit contract-farmers. These two changes are negatively affecting raptors that require open-area habitats to hunt, as well as other species that preferentially inhabit land mosaics. In particular, this change is impacting both specialists in the region and biodiversity in general. While deforestation is a major problem in many countries (Carrara et al. 2015), the ecosystem of the Dadia NP is based on the balanced co-existence of man, forest, land use and livestock farming through a traditional framework that maintained forest clearings. This combination is the key to the success of this region in supporting a highly diverse landscape and biodiversity.

Specialisation is an expected evolutionarily response to habitat stability (in space or time), whereas the generalist strategy is a response to the lack of stability of the environment (Futuyma and Moreno 1988). For instance, Julliard et al. (2006) showed that, within a given habitat category, generalist species tend to aggregate at certain sites, while specialist species tend to aggregate at others. The authors suggested that specialists prefer more stable sites, while generalists the more unstable sites. Thus, the slow homogenisation of the Dadia NP forest is causing the previously balanced ecosystem to enter an unstable situation; consequently, generalists have been increasing in the forest, whereas specialists have been driven away in search of more stable ecosystems. The additional pressures of poisoning, electrocution and collisions with wind turbines also exert an influence on the relative survival of different species.
The complex ecosystem of Dadia NP, along with its complex raptor assemblage, creates a highly dynamic system where raptors are influenced by competitive interactions and various environmental parameters at different ecological scales (Hakkarainen et al. 2004, Sánchez-Zapata and Calvo 2004). This region is highly sensitive, making it difficult to balance all components and maintain high population numbers for all species through management (i.e. one action that benefits one species might hinder another). Yet, by combining the Systematic Raptor Monitoring in combination with species-specific ecological studies, it is possible to identify key issues allowing the timely implementation of mitigation measures.

Conclusions

At present, five major conservation issues exist in the Dadia NP raptor assemblage:

The total number of occupied raptor territories in the NP appears to be stable over time, showing the viability of the ecosystem to host raptors; however, a parallel increase in common and generalist species has been observed and this may be causing a reduction in the number of territories occupied by the more specialist species with smaller populations.

The increase in homogenisation through forest encroachment on forest clearings that are no longer grazed and the change in the type of agricultural crops being planted through contract-farming, might be contributing to the decline in certain species, like the Lesser-spotted eagle, Long-legged buzzard and Honey buzzard.

Furthermore, the increase in certain forest dwelling species that favour the increase in the closed-canopy environment, such as the Northern goshawk, might also be placing more pressure on these declining species, including the Eurasian sparrowhawk and the Common kestrel, due to its greater competitive ability.

Except for raptor species with increasing and decreasing trends, some raptors exhibited relative stability, but with noticeable fluctuations, such as the Levant sparrowhawk, the Booted eagle and the Golden eagle. To determine whether the observed trends in these and all other raptor groups are indicative of normal population fluctuations or deviations from regularity, long-term monitoring is required to remove “noise.” Therefore, without doubt, the SRM in Dadia NP must be continued without interruption to enrich the time-series data and to optimise management in the decades to come.

The important anthropogenic pressure which has been recently identified by other studies, denoting the impact of illegal poisoning and collisions with wind turbines is an additional limiting factor on raptor populations.
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